

SRI S. BANGARAPPA.—I want to know the overdraft as on to-day.

SRI M. VEERAPPA MOILY.—As on to-day, there is no overdraft at all; i.e., from 1st July 1982 upto this date, there is no overdraft at all; For the information of this House, I would like to add some more facts. The House is well aware that as on 31-3-1981, we had the deficit of Rs. 38.37 Crores and not overdraft. That means, we had -Rs. 38.37 Crores as on 31-3-1981. When we ended up the year, i.e., on 31-3-1982, we had no deficit at all. Infact, we had spent over and above the plan expenditure i.e., + Rs. 39.74 Crores as on 31-3-1982 and our State tops the list as far as this financial position is concerned; Tamil Nadu comes second with + Rs. 17.53 Crores. That means, even though we had the deficit of Rs. 38.37 Crores as on 31-3-1981, as far as 31-3-1982 is concerned, we ended up with + Rs. 39.74 Crores and our State tops the list in terms of the financial management as on 31-3-1982.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಬಂಗಾರಪ್ಪ.—ಹಣಕಾಸಿನ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಅನುಸರಿಸಿ ಮುಂದಿನ ತಿಂಗಳು ಓವರ್ ಡ್ರಾಫ್ಟನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ವಿಚಾರ ಇದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ವೀರಪ್ಪ ಮೊಯಿಲಿ.—ಆ ವಿಚಾರ ಇಲ್ಲ.

SRI A. LAKSHMISAGAR.—Sir, the Hon. Minister gave figures from 1st July 1982 till today. According to him there is no over draft But, I want the figures of over draft from January 1980 to the month ending with June 1982. Monthly figures may kindly be furnished.

SRI M. VEERAPPA MOILY.—Sir, at present I do not have those figures with me. I can furnish the same, if the hon. Member wants, later on.

SRI A. LAKSHMISAGAR.—What is the total interest that has been paid on these over drafts for the entire period upto July 1982 Yearwise figures may kindly be furnished.

SRI M. VEERAPPA MOILY.—Sir, interest paid upto December 1981 on over draft was about Rs. 4 crores.

SRI A. LAKSHMISAGAR.—What is the total quantum of interest for the subsequent period you had paid

SRI M. VEERAPPA MOILY.—Mr. Speaker Sir, I do not have those figures because the question was pertaining to the earlier period

SRI A. LAKSHMISAGAR.—Sir, I am only asking for the current year.

SRI M. VEERAPPA MOILY.—Those figures are not readily available

Railway link-road near Chittapur town

10. SRI PRABHAKAR TELKAR (Chittapur).—Will the Minister for Public Works be pleased to state,—

(a) whether representation have been made by the people as we as local MLA to have link road on Secanderabad-Wadi-broadguage railway line near Chittapur town;

(b) whether the Government is aware that more than 45 villages of Chittapur Taluk beyond the above mentioned Railway Line are more under developed for want of proper communication;

(c) whether the Government is aware that the under bridge over the above mentioned railway line is quite small hindering the movements of Heavy Vehicles including the plying of K.S.R.T.C. Buses;

(d) whether there is any proposal either to have level crossing Link-Road or enlarge the existing under-bridge over the Secandera-bad-Wadi-Broadguage line near Chittapur Town;

(e) if so, the steps taken by the Government in this matter

SRI KAGODU THIMMAPPA (Minister for Public Works).—

(a) Yes

(b) Yes

(c) Yes

(d) The proposal to widen the existing under-bridge is under consideration.

(e) The matter is under correspondence with the Railway authorities.

SRI PRABHAKAR R. TELKAR.—Sir, from the answer we can say that the Government is fully aware of the fact that about 45 villages coming behind the Chittapur railway line is under developed for the last 35 years. No progress is made for laying a link road till today, Will the Government think of having a link road connecting these villages with Chitapur immediately?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಾಗೋಡು ತಿಮ್ಮಪ್ಪ.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೇ, ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ರೈಲ್ವೆ ಇಲಾಖೆಯವರ ಅನುಮತಿ ಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಈ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಪರ್ಕ ಬೆಳೆಸಿದ್ದೇವೆ, ಅನುಮತಿ ಸಿಕ್ಕಿದರೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.

SRI PRABHAKAR R. TELKAR.—Sir, this corespondence is going on for the last 3-4 years. Recently, the Hon. Minister of State for Railways Sri C.K. Jaffer Sheriff has said that the matter is pending with the State Government; all the formalities that are required to done by the Railway Department is over; and now only the concurrence of the State Government is required. Will the Government take steps immediately to see that the bridge is widened as early as possible?

SRI KAGODU THIMMAPPA.—We have already addressed a letter to the Government of India to take up estimate for widening the bridge. We are awaiting the sanction of the Central Government,

SRI PRABHAKAR B. TELKAR.—Sir, the State Government has to deposit certain amount, according to rules. I have received a letter to this effect and I will hand over the same to the Hon. Minister. The intention of the Central Government is that the State Government should deposit certain amount for widening the bridge. Will the Government or the Chief Engineer concerned deposit the amount and see that the work is taken up immediately?

SRI KAGODU THIMMAPPA.—Firstly, they have to approve the proposals and then indicate the amount which we have to deposit. Unless they approve the proposal the question of depositing money and other things will not at all arise.

SRI PRABHAKAR B. TELKAR.—If the Central Government delays it, what steps the State Government proposes to take. These 35 to 45 villages are underdeveloped and no progress has been made to develop it. So, I would like to know what steps the Government proposes to take?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಾಗೋಡು ತಿಮ್ಮಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ರೈಲ್ವೆ ಇಲಾಖೆಯವರೊಂದಿಗೆ ವ್ಯವಹಾರ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ, ಅವರಿಂದ ಅನುಮತಿ ಬಂದ ಕೂಡಲೇ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಒಂದು ಪಕ್ಷ ಅಗಲ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದರೂ ಕೂಡ ಪರ್ಯಾಯ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿದ್ದರೆ ಮಾಡೋಣ

Formation of bypath near Huliurdurga Town

21 **SRI D.T. MAYANNA (Huliurdurga).**—Will the Minister for Public Works be pleased to state.

(a) the distance of Tumkur-Maddur road which comes under the limits of Town Panchayat of Huliurdurga;

(b) whether it has come to the notice of Government that the Pedestrains are experiencing hardship at the town limits at the time of movement of two motor vehicles in opposite directions.

(c) whether the Government have proposed to form by path near Huliurdurga Town.

(d) if so. its estimated cost and the period required by Government to complete this bypath?

SRI KAGODU THIMMAPPA (Minister for Public Works).—

(a) 3.20 Kms.

(b) It has not come to the notice of Government for the present

(c) No such proposal is under consideration of Government for the present.

(d) This question does not arise in view of reply furnished to clause (c) above

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ.ಟಿ. ಮಾಯಣ್ಣ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ನನ್ನ (ಅ) ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಉತ್ತರವಾಗಿ ಸದ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರ